IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 6061 of 2005

Between:

Syed Khaleemullah Hussaini, s/o Aulad Hussaini,
r/o 16-2-143, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad East,

Goshanmahal, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, or order direction particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the inaction of the respondent is illegal, contrary to the provisions of Section 76 of Evidence Act, and direct the respondent to issue certified copies as per the petitioner's application dated 30-7-2003, and pass such other order or orders as deemed fit and proper.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.ANTHONY REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by Syed Khaleemullah Hussaini with a prayer to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the inaction of the respondent is illegal, contrary to the provisions of Section 76 of the Evidence Act and direct the respondent to issue certified copies as per the petitioner's application, dated 30.07.2003.

The petitioner has filed the sworn affidavit in support of the writ petition. It is stated in the affidavit that an application was presented before the respondent seeking certified copies as per the petitioner's application in file No. J1487/99, dated 30.07.2003, but the respondent did not pass any orders on the application. Therefore, the present writ petition filed by the petitioner seeking relief as stated above.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government pleader appearing for the respondent.

Copy application presented by the petitioner has been placed on record and it is dated 30.07.2003. He requested for furnishing certified copies of petitioner's application, objections and also withdrawal memo, filed before the respondent. There seem to be no order passed on the application filed by the petitioner on 30.07.2003. Such is the case, the writ petition can be disposed of giving direction to the petitioner to make a fresh application giving details of the documents that are required by the petitioner within two weeks and the respondent shall consider the

application and pass appropriate orders on the said application within four weeks thereafter from the date of receipt of the application. No order as to costs.

_____

17-06-2005

kvrm

To

1. The Revenue Divisional officer, Hyderabad East,

Goshanmahal, Hyderabad.

2. 2 CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad

(OUT)

3. 2 CD copies.